UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

United States of America,

Case No. 20-cr-76 (PAM/TNL)

Plaintiff,

v. ORDER

Muhammad Masood,

Defendant.

This matter is before the Court on Defendant Muhammad Masood's Motion for Extension of Deadlines and Hearings. (ECF No. 67.) Defendant has also filed a Statement of Facts in Support of Extension. (ECF No. 69.) Defendant asks to extend the deadlines for filing motions and responses and to continue the pretrial motions hearing for 5-6 weeks. (ECF No. 67 at 1.)

Defendant requests the extension of deadlines and a continuance of the motions hearing because "defense needs additional time to determine whether and how to proceed with pretrial motions." (*Id.*) The specific reasons are set forth in a Declaration of Defendant's counsel, which was filed under seal. (*See* ECF No. 68.) The Government has no objection to Defendant's request for an extension of deadlines and a continuance of the motions hearing.

Additionally, beginning on March 13, 2020, and continuing thereafter, the Honorable John R. Tunheim, then-Chief District Judge for the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, has issued a series of General Orders in connection with the

COVID-19 pandemic, addressing, among other things, criminal proceedings and trials.¹ On June 1, 2022, Judge Tunheim entered General Order No. 36, which allows limited inperson proceedings for defendants who decline to consent to conducting the proceeding using videoconferencing, or telephone conferencing if videoconferencing is not reasonably available. *See generally In re: Updated Guidance to Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by COVID-19*, Gen. Order No. 36 (D. Minn. June 1, 2022). General Order No. 36 states that because only limited in-person proceedings may be held each day, criminal proceedings may be continued until the date that the criminal proceeding takes place.

General Order No. 36 continues to encourage the use of videoconferencing in criminal proceedings and states that, with the defendant's consent, criminal proceedings will be conducted by videoconferencing, or telephone conferencing if videoconferencing is not reasonably available. General Order No. 36 further provides that the presiding judge will enter orders in individual cases to extend deadlines and exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act to address delays attributable to COVID-19. Accordingly, should Defendant file pretrial motions, counsel shall also file a letter indicating whether Defendant consents to a motions hearing by videoconference. (See also ECF No. 26 at 1.)

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h), this Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and Defendant in a speedy trial and such continuance is necessary to provide Defendant and his counsel reasonable

¹ All General Orders related to the COVID-19 pandemic may be found on the Court's website at https://www.mnd.uscourts.gov/coronavirus-covid-19-guidance.

time necessary for effective preparation and to make efficient use of the parties' resources.

Based on all the files, records, and proceedings herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Defendant's Motion for Extension of Deadlines and Hearing (ECF No. 67) is **GRANTED**.
- 2. The period of time from July 2 through September 9, 2022, shall be excluded from Speedy Trial Act computations in this case.
- 3. All motions in the above-entitled case shall be filed and served consistent with Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 12(b) and 47 on or before **August 15, 2022**. D. Minn. LR 12.1(c)(1). Two courtesy copies of all motions and responses shall be delivered directly to the chambers of Magistrate Judge Tony N. Leung.³
- 4. Counsel shall also file a letter on or before August 15, 2022, indicating whether Defendant consents to a motions hearing by videoconference.
- 5. Counsel shall electronically file a letter on or before August 15, 2022, if no motions will be filed and there is no need for a hearing.
- 6. All responses to motions shall be filed by **August 29, 2022**. D. Minn. LR 12.1(c)(2).
- 7. Any Notice of Intent to Call Witnesses⁴ shall be filed by **August 29, 2022**. D. Minn. LR 12.1(c)(3)(A).

² "Before filing a motion under Fed. R. Crim. P. 12(b), the moving party must confer with the responding party. The parties must attempt in good faith to clarify and narrow the issues in dispute." D. Minn. LR 12.1(b).

³ U.S. Mail or hand-deliver to 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 9W, Minneapolis, MN 55415.

⁴ "When a party intends to call witnesses at a hearing on a motion under Fed. R. Crim P. 12(b), the party must file a notice within 35 days after the arraignment. The notice must identify the number of witnesses whom the party intends to call, the motion or motions that each witness will be addressing, and the estimated duration of each witness's testimony." D. Minn. LR 12.1(c)(3)(A).

- 8. Any Responsive Notice of Intent to Call Witnesses⁵ shall be filed by **September 1, 2022**. D. Minn. LR 12.1(c)(3)(B).
- 9. A motions hearing will be held pursuant to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 12(c) where:
 - a. The Government makes timely disclosures and a defendant pleads particularized matters for which an evidentiary hearing is necessary; or
 - b. Oral argument is requested by either party in its motion, objection or response pleadings.
- 10. If required and subject to further order of the Court, the motions hearing shall be heard before Magistrate Judge Tony N. Leung on **September 9, 2022**, at **9:30 a.m.**, in Courtroom 9W, Diana E. Murphy U.S. Courthouse, 300 South Fourth Street, **MINNEAPOLIS**, Minnesota 55415. D. Minn. LR 12.1(d).
- 11. An arraignment hearing will be held before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge on **September 9, 2022**, at **9:30 a.m.**, in Courtroom 9W, Diana E. Murphy U.S. Courthouse, 300 South Fourth Street, **MINNEAPOLIS**, Minnesota 55415.

12. **TRIAL:**

a. IF NO PRETRIAL MOTIONS ARE FILED BY DEFENDANT:

the following trial and trial-related dates are:

All voir dire questions and jury instructions must be submitted to Senior District Judge Paul A. Magnuson on or before **November 14, 2022.**

⁵ "If after reviewing a notice under LR 12(c)(3), a party intends to call witnesses at the same hearing, that party must file a responsive notice within 38 days after the arraignment. The responsive party must identify the number of witnesses whom the party intends to call, the motion or motions each witness will be addressing, and the estimated duration of each witness's testimony." D. Minn. LR 12.1(c)(3)(B).

This case must commence trial on **December 12, 2022,** at **9:00 a.m.** before District Judge Magnuson in Courtroom 7D, Warren E. Burger Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse, 316 North Robert Street, **SAINT PAUL**, Minnesota.

b. IF PRETRIAL MOTIONS ARE FILED, the trial date, and other related dates, will be rescheduled following the ruling on pretrial motions. Counsel must contact the Courtroom Deputy for District Judge Magnuson to confirm the new trial date.

Tony N. Leung United States Magistrate Judge District of Minnesota

United States v. Masood
Case No. 20-cr-76 (PAM/TNL)